

CONNES' EMBEDDING PROBLEM AND LANCE'S WEP

NATHANIAL P. BROWN

ABSTRACT. A II_1 -factor embeds into the ultraproduct of the hyperfinite II_1 -factor if and only if it satisfies the von Neumann algebraic analogue of Lance's weak expectation property (WEP). This note gives a self contained proof of this fact.

1. INTRODUCTION

On page 105 in [2] Connes suggested that every separable II_1 -factor ought to be embeddable into the ultraproduct, R^ω , of the hyperfinite II_1 -factor R . Largely due to work of Kirchberg, Voiculescu and, most recently, Haagerup this seemingly technical question has received more and more attention in recent years. Indeed, Kirchberg proved in [5] that this problem can be reformulated in an unexpected variety of ways (see [7] for a wonderful exposition of Kirchberg's work), this problem turns out to be a necessary condition for Voiculescu's 'Unification Problem' (i.e. if the microstates and non-microstates approaches to free entropy yield the same quantity then every II_1 -factor is embeddable) and, finally, Haagerup has shown that this problem is nearly sufficient for resolving the relative invariant subspace problem for II_1 -factors (he showed that every operator in an embeddable II_1 -factor which satisfies a mild non-degeneracy condition has invariant subspaces – see [4]).

In [6] Lance introduced the *weak expectation property* (WEP) for C^* -algebras. Blackadar shifted the point of view to von Neumann algebras with the following definition.

Definition 1.1. Let $M \subset B(H)$ be a von Neumann algebra acting on some Hilbert space H and let $A \subset M$ be a weakly dense C^* -subalgebra. Then M has a *weak expectation relative to A* if there exists a unital, completely positive map $\Phi : B(H) \rightarrow M$ such that $\Phi(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$.

This notion was inspired by injectivity; $M \subset B(H)$ is *injective* if there exists a unital, completely positive map $\Phi : B(H) \rightarrow M$ such that $\Phi(x) = x$ for all $x \in M$.

It follows from Arveson's Extension Theorem that a C^* -algebra A has the WEP if and only if the enveloping von Neumann algebra A^{**} has a weak expectation relative to $A \subset A^{**}$.

In [1] we observed that the W^* -version of the WEP is closely related to Connes' embedding problem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a separable II_1 -factor. Then M is embeddable into R^ω if and only if M has a weak expectation relative to some weakly dense subalgebra.*

A simple corollary of this result states that many well known II_1 -factors which are "far from being hyperfinite" (in the sense that they exhibit vastly different properties than R – no Cartan subalgebras, prime, property T, etc.) are in fact built out of R in a way which naturally mixes von Neumann algebraic and operator space notions. More precisely, we have the following approximation property.

Supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship. 2000 MSC number: 46L05.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $M \subset R^\omega$ be a II_1 -factor, $\mathfrak{F} \subset M$ be a finite set and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a subspace $X \subset M$ such that X nearly contains $\mathfrak{F}$ (within ϵ in 2-norm) and $X \cong R$ (as operator systems).*

In other words, free group factors (and $L(\Gamma)$ for any other residually finite group) are the weak closure of (operator space isomorphic) copies of R .

The purpose of this note is to give self contained proofs of these results as some details do not appear in [1]. The proof turns out to be fairly elementary but relies on a mixture of classical ideas (invariant means) some new aspects of (finite) representation theory of C^* -algebras and a bit of trickery.

Throughout this paper A will denote a separable unital C^* -algebra. Separability is really not necessary, but it is convenient. We will use the abbreviation u.c.p. for unital completely positive maps. If τ is a state on a C^* -algebra A then $\pi_\tau : A \rightarrow B(L^2(A, \tau))$ will denote the GNS representation. Note that if M is a II_1 -factor in standard form (i.e. acting, via GNS, on the L^2 -space coming from its unique trace) and $\pi : A \rightarrow M \subset B(L^2(M))$ is a $*$ -homomorphism with weakly dense range then we may, thanks to uniqueness of GNS representations, identify π with the GNS representation of A coming from $\tau \circ \pi$, where τ is the unique trace on M .

Finally, recall that if R denotes the hyperfinite II_1 -factor and $\omega \in \beta(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is a free ultrafilter then the ultraproduct R^ω is defined to be $l^\infty(R) = \{(x_n) : x_n \in R, \sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty\}$ modulo the ideal $I_\omega = \{(x_n) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n\|_2 = 0\}$, where $\|x\|_2^2 = \tau(x^*x)$ and τ is the unique trace on R . It turns out that R^ω is a II_1 -factor with tracial state $\tau_\omega((x_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau(x_n)$.

2. INVARIANT MEANS ON C^* -ALGEBRAS

In [2, Remark 5.35] Connes points out that a hypertrace can be regarded as the analogue of an invariant mean on a group. We essentially take this as the definition of an invariant mean on a C^* -algebra.

Definition 2.1. Let $A \subset B(H)$ be a C^* -algebra. A (tracial) state τ on A is called an *invariant mean* if there exists a state ψ on $B(H)$ which is (1) invariant under the action of the unitary group of A on $B(H)$ (i.e. $\psi(uTu^*) = \psi(T)$ for all $T \in B(H)$ and unitaries $u \in A$) and (2) extends τ (i.e. $\psi|_A = \tau$). We will denote by $T(A)_{\text{IM}}$ the set of all invariant means on A .

The main result of this section gives an important characterization of invariant means. There are several other ways to characterize invariant means (cf. [1, Theorem 3.1], [7, Theorem 6.1]) but we only present the ones we need. The main step in the proof ((1) $\implies$ (2)) is essentially due to Connes in the unique trace case and Kirchberg in general. We will isolate the main technical aspects in a lemma.

Below, $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ will denote the canonical (unbounded) trace on $B(H)$ and, if H is finite dimensional, $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ will denote the (unique) tracial state on $B(H)$. Also, $\mathcal{T} \subset B(H)$ will be the trace class operators (i.e. the predual of $B(H)$) and $\|\cdot\|_{1,Tr}$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{2,Tr}$) will denote the L^1 -norm (resp. L^2 -norm) on $\mathcal{T}$. Recall that the Powers-Størmer inequality states that if $h, k \in \mathcal{T}$ are positive then $\|h - k\|_{2,Tr}^2 \leq \|h^2 - k^2\|_{1,Tr}$. In particular, if $u \in B(H)$ is a unitary and $h \geq 0$ has finite rank then $\|uh^{1/2} - h^{1/2}u\|_{2,Tr} = \|uh^{1/2}u^* - h^{1/2}\|_{2,Tr} \leq \|uhu^* - h\|_{1,Tr}^{1/2}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $h \in B(H)$ be a positive, finite rank operator with rational eigenvalues and $\text{Tr}(h) = 1$. Then there exists a u.c.p. map $\phi : B(H) \rightarrow M_q(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\text{tr}(\phi(T)) = \text{Tr}(hT)$*

for all $T \in B(H)$ and $|tr(\phi(uu^*) - \phi(u)\phi(u^*))| < 2\|uhu^* - h\|_1^{1/2}$ for every unitary operator $u \in B(H)$.

Proof. This proof is taken directly from the proof of [7, Theorem 6.1] which, in turn, is based on work of Haagerup.

Let $v_1, \dots, v_k \in H$ be the eigenvectors of h and $\frac{p_1}{q}, \dots, \frac{p_k}{q}$ the corresponding eigenvalues. Note that $\sum p_j = q$ since $Tr(h) = 1$. Let $\{w_m\}$ be any orthonormal basis of H and consider the following orthonormal subset of $H \otimes H$:

$$\{v_1 \otimes w_1, \dots, v_1 \otimes w_{p_1}\} \cup \{v_2 \otimes w_1, \dots, v_2 \otimes w_{p_2}\} \cup \dots \cup \{v_k \otimes w_1, \dots, v_k \otimes w_{p_k}\}.$$

Let $P \in B(H \otimes H)$ be the orthogonal projection onto the span of these vectors. We encourage the reader to write down the matrix of $P(T \otimes 1)P$ (in the basis above), for an arbitrary $T \in B(H)$. Indeed, having done so the following facts become easy to verify.

- (1) $\frac{1}{q}Tr(P(T \otimes 1)P) = \frac{1}{q}(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \langle Tv_i, v_i \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle Thv_i, v_i \rangle = Tr(Th)$.
- (2) $\frac{1}{q}Tr(P(T \otimes 1)P(T^* \otimes 1)P) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k |\langle Tv_j, v_i \rangle|^2 \min\{p_i, p_j\}$.

Now we encourage the reader to write down the matrices of $h^{1/2}T$, $h^{1/2}T^*$ and $h^{1/2}Th^{1/2}T^*$ (in any orthonormal basis which begins with $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$). Having done so one immediately sees that, letting $T_{i,j} = \langle Tv_j, v_i \rangle$,

$$Tr(h^{1/2}Th^{1/2}T^*) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{1}{q}(p_i p_j)^{1/2} |T_{i,j}|^2.$$

Hence, if we define a u.c.p. map $\phi : B(H) \rightarrow M_q(\mathbb{C})$ by $\phi(T) = P(T \otimes 1)P$ then $tr(\phi(T)) = Tr(hT)$ for all $T \in B(H)$ and, moreover, we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |Tr(h^{1/2}Th^{1/2}T^*) - tr(\phi(T)\phi(T^*))| &= \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{1}{q} |T_{i,j}|^2 \left((p_i p_j)^{1/2} - \min\{p_i, p_j\} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{1}{q} |T_{i,j}|^2 p_i^{1/2} |p_i^{1/2} - p_j^{1/2}| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{1}{q} |T_{i,j}|^2 p_i \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{1}{q} |T_{i,j}|^2 (p_i^{1/2} - p_j^{1/2})^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|Th^{1/2}\|_{2,Tr} \|h^{1/2}T - Th^{1/2}\|_{2,Tr}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if T happens to be a unitary operator then $\|Th^{1/2}\|_{2,Tr} = \|h^{1/2}\|_{2,Tr} = 1$ and $\|h^{1/2}T - Th^{1/2}\|_{2,Tr} = \|Th^{1/2}T^* - h^{1/2}\|_{2,Tr}$ and hence we can apply the Powers-Størmer inequality after the inequalities above to get:

$$|Tr(h^{1/2}Th^{1/2}T^*) - tr(\phi(T)\phi(T^*))| \leq \|ThT^* - h\|_{1,Tr}^{1/2}.$$

Finally, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality applied to the Hilbert-Schmidt operators implies that for every unitary operator $T \in B(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(\phi(TT^*) - \phi(T)\phi(T^*)) &\leq |1 - \text{Tr}(h^{1/2}Th^{1/2}T^*)| + \|ThT^* - h\|_{1,Tr}^{1/2} \\
&= |\text{Tr}(ThT^*) - \text{Tr}(h^{1/2}Th^{1/2}T^*)| + \|ThT^* - h\|_{1,Tr}^{1/2} \\
&= |\text{Tr}((Th^{1/2} - h^{1/2}T)h^{1/2}T^*)| + \|ThT^* - h\|_{1,Tr}^{1/2} \\
&\leq \|h^{1/2}T^*\|_{2,Tr} \|Th^{1/2} - h^{1/2}T\|_{2,Tr} + \|ThT^* - h\|_{1,Tr}^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2\|ThT^* - h\|_{1,Tr}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let τ be a tracial state on A . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\tau \in T(A)_{\text{IM}}$.
- (2) *There exists a sequence of u.c.p. maps $\phi_n : A \rightarrow M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|\phi_n(ab) - \phi_n(a)\phi_n(b)\|_{2,tr} \rightarrow 0$ and $\tau(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr} \circ \phi_n(a)$, for all $a, b \in A$, where $\|x\|_{2,tr}^2 = \text{tr}(x^*x)$ for every $x \in M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$.*
- (3) *For any faithful representation $A \subset B(H)$ there exists a u.c.p. map $\Phi : B(H) \rightarrow \pi_\tau(A)''$ such that $\Phi(a) = \pi_\tau(a)$.*

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2). Let $A \subset B(H)$ be a faithful representation. Since $\tau \in T(A)_{\text{IM}}$ we can find a state ψ on $B(H)$ which extends τ and such that $\psi(uTu^*) = \psi(T)$ for all unitaries $u \in A$ and operators $T \in B(H)$. Since the normal states on $B(H)$ are dense in the set of all states on $B(H)$ we can find a net of positive operators $h_\lambda \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\text{Tr}(h_\lambda T) \rightarrow \psi(T)$ for all $T \in B(H)$. Since $\psi(u^*Tu) = \psi(T)$ it follows that $\text{Tr}(h_\lambda T) - \text{Tr}((uh_\lambda u^*)T) \rightarrow 0$ for every $T \in B(H)$ and unitary $u \in A$. In other words, $h_\lambda - uh_\lambda u^* \rightarrow 0$ in the weak topology on $\mathcal{T}$. Hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there are convex combinations which tend to zero in L^1 -norm. In fact, taking finite direct sums (i.e. considering n-tuples $(u_1 h_\lambda u_1^* - h_\lambda, \dots, u_n h_\lambda u_n^* - h_\lambda)$) one applies a similar argument to show that if $\mathfrak{F} \subset A$ is a finite set of unitaries then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a positive trace class operator $h \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\text{Tr}(h) = 1$, $|\text{Tr}(uh) - \tau(u)| < \epsilon$ and $\|h - uh u^*\|_1 < \epsilon$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since finite rank operators are norm dense in $\mathcal{T}$ we may further assume that h is finite rank with rational eigenvalues.

Applying Lemma 2.2 to bigger and bigger finite sets of unitaries and smaller and smaller epsilon's we can construct a sequence of u.c.p. maps $\phi_n : B(H) \rightarrow M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\text{tr}(\phi_n(u)) \rightarrow \tau(u)$ and $|\text{tr}(\phi_n(uu^*) - \phi_n(u)\phi_n(u^*))| \rightarrow 0$ for every unitary u in a countable set with dense linear span in A . Since $\phi_n(uu^*) - \phi_n(u)\phi_n(u^*) \geq 0$ we have

$$\|1 - \phi_n(u)\phi_n(u^*)\|_{2,tr}^2 \leq \|1 - \phi_n(u)\phi_n(u^*)\| \text{tr}(\phi_n(uu^*) - \phi_n(u)\phi_n(u^*)) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $\|\phi_n(ab) - \phi_n(a)\phi_n(b)\|_{2,tr} \rightarrow 0$ for every $a, b \in A$. Indeed, defining $\Phi = \oplus \phi_n : A \rightarrow \prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset l^\infty(R)$ we can compose with the natural quotient map $l^\infty(R) \rightarrow R^\omega$ and it follows that every unitary such that $\|\phi_n(uu^*) - \phi_n(u)\phi_n(u^*)\|_{2,tr}^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\phi_n(u^*u) - \phi_n(u^*)\phi_n(u)\|_{2,tr}^2 \rightarrow 0$ will fall in the multiplicative domain of the composition. However we have arranged that such unitaries have dense linear span and hence all of A falls in the multiplicative domain.

(2) $\implies$ (3). Let $\phi_n : A \rightarrow M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ be a sequence of u.c.p. maps with the properties stated in the theorem. Identify each $M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ with a unital subfactor of R and we can define a u.c.p. map $A \rightarrow l^\infty(R)$ by $x \mapsto (\phi_n(x)) \in \prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset l^\infty(R)$. Since the ϕ_n 's are asymptotically

multiplicative in 2-norm one gets a τ -preserving $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow R^\omega$ by composing with the quotient map $l^\infty(R) \rightarrow R^\omega$. The weak closure of A under this mapping will be isomorphic to $\pi_\tau(A)''$ and we can extend the mapping on A to all of $B(H)$ because (a) $l^\infty(R)$ is injective (hence we first extend into $l^\infty(R)$) and (b) there exists a conditional expectation $R^\omega \rightarrow \pi_\tau(A)''$.

(3) $\implies$ (1). Note that A falls in the multiplicative domain of Φ and hence Φ is a bimodule map; i.e. $\Phi(aTb) = \pi_\tau(a)\Phi(T)\pi_\tau(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $T \in B(H)$. From this observation one easily checks that if we let τ'' denote the vector trace on $\pi_\tau(A)''$ then $\tau'' \circ \Phi$ is a state on $B(H)$ which extends τ and which is invariant under the action of the unitary group of A on $B(H)$. Hence τ is an invariant mean. $\square$

3. II_1 -FACTOR REPRESENTATIONS OF $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$

In this section we observe that every separable II_1 -factor contains a weakly dense copy of the universal C^* -algebra generated by a countably infinite set of unitaries (i.e. $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$). Since every separable II_1 -factor M is generated by a countable number of unitaries it follows from universality that there is always a $*$ -homomorphism $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow M$ with weakly dense range. However, the next proposition completes the II_1 -factor representation theory of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$; it is not particularly deep but rather amounts to some universal trickery.

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be a II_1 -factor. There exists a $*$ -monomorphism $\rho : C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \hookrightarrow M$ such that $\rho(C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty))$ is weakly dense in M .*

Proof. We first need to write $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ as an inductive limit of free products of itself. That is, we define

$$A_1 = C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty), A_2 = A_1 * C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty), \dots, A_n = A_{n-1} * C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty), \dots,$$

where $*$ denotes the full (i.e. universal) free product (with amalgamation over the scalars). Letting A denote the inductive limit of the sequence $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \dots$ it is easy to see (by universal considerations) that $A \cong C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. Since A is residually finite dimensional (cf. [3]) we can find a sequence of integers $\{k(n)\}$ and a unital $*$ -monomorphism $\sigma : A \hookrightarrow \prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$. Note that we may naturally identify each A_i with a subalgebra of A and hence, restricting σ to this copy of A_i , get an injection of A_i into $\prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$.

To construct the desired embedding of A into M , it suffices to prove the existence of a sequence of unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\rho_i : A_i \rightarrow M$ with the following properties:

- (1) Each ρ_i is injective.
- (2) $\rho_{i+1}|_{A_i} = \rho_i$, where we identify A_i with the ‘left side’ of $A_i * C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) = A_{i+1}$.
- (3) The (increasing) union of $\{\rho_i(A_i)\}$ is weakly dense in M .

To this end, we first choose an increasing sequence of projections $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots$ from M such that $\tau_M(p_i) \rightarrow 1$. Then define orthogonal projections $q_2 = p_2 - p_1, q_3 = p_3 - p_2, \dots$ and consider the II_1 -factors $Q_j = q_j M q_j$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots$. As is well known and not hard to construct, we can, for each $j \geq 2$, find a unital embedding $\prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow Q_j \subset M$ and thus we get a sequence of (orthogonal) embeddings $A \hookrightarrow \prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow Q_j \subset M$ which will be denoted by σ_j .

We are almost ready to construct the ρ_i ’s. Indeed, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\pi_i : C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow p_i M p_i$ be a (not necessarily injective!) $*$ -homomorphism with weakly dense range. We then define

ρ_1 as

$$\rho_1 = \pi_1 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j \geq 2} \sigma_j|_{A_1} \right) : A_1 \hookrightarrow p_1 M p_1 \oplus \left(\prod_{j \geq 2} Q_j \right) \subset M.$$

Note that this is a unital $*$ -monomorphism from A_1 into M (since each σ_j is already faithful on all of A). Now define a $*$ -homomorphism $\theta_2 : A_2 = A_1 * C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow p_2 M p_2$ as the free product of the $*$ -homomorphisms $A_1 \rightarrow p_2 M p_2$, $x \mapsto p_2 \rho_1(x) p_2$, and $\pi_2 : C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow p_2 M p_2$. We then put

$$\rho_2 = \theta_2 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j \geq 3} \sigma_j|_{A_2} \right) : A_2 \hookrightarrow p_2 M p_2 \oplus \left(\prod_{j \geq 3} Q_j \right) \subset M.$$

Note that $\rho_2|_{A_1} = \rho_1$. Hopefully it is now clear how to proceed. In general, we construct a map (whose range is dense in $p_{n+1} M p_{n+1}$) $\theta_{n+1} : A_{n+1} = A_n * C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow p_{n+1} M p_{n+1}$ as the free product of the cutdown (by p_{n+1}) of ρ_n and π_{n+1} . This map need not be faithful and hence we take a direct sum with $\bigoplus_{j \geq n+2} \sigma_j|_{A_{n+1}}$ to remedy this deficiency. It is then easy to see that these maps have all the required properties and hence the proof is complete. $\square$

4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

With Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 3.1 in hand we can now prove the main result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a separable II_1 -factor. Then M is embeddable into R^ω if and only if M has a weak expectation relative to some weakly dense subalgebra.*

Proof. ($\implies$) First assume that $M \subset R^\omega$. By Proposition 3.1 we may identify $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ with a weakly dense subalgebra of M . Letting τ denote the unique trace on M we first claim that $\tau|_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)}$ is an invariant mean. To see this we note that since matrix algebras are weakly dense in R we can find a sequence $M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset R$ such that each unitary in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \subset M \subset R^\omega$ lifts to a unitary in $\prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset l^\infty(R)$. In other words, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma : C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow \prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\pi(\sigma(x)) = x$ for all $x \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$, where $\pi : l^\infty(R) \rightarrow l^\infty(R)/I_\omega = R^\omega$ is the canonical quotient mapping. By definition of the trace on R^ω it follows that $\tau|_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)}$ is the weak- $*$ limit of traces on matrix algebras composed with homomorphisms $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ and hence $\tau|_{C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)}$ is an invariant mean. Now, if we move M to its left regular representation coming from τ then we can apply Theorem 2.3 and conclude that M has a weak expectation relative to $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$.

($\impliedby$) Now suppose that there exists a weakly dense C^* -algebra $A \subset M \subset B(H)$ and a u.c.p. map $\Phi : B(H) \rightarrow M$ such that $\Phi(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$. If τ is the unique trace on M then it follows that $\tau|_A$ is an invariant mean just as in the proof of (3) $\implies$ (1) from Theorem 2.3. From Theorem 2.3 it follows that we can find a sequence of u.c.p. maps $\phi_n : A \rightarrow M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ which are asymptotically multiplicative (in 2-norm) and which asymptotically recover $\tau|_A$ after composing with the traces on $M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence the u.c.p. mapping $A \rightarrow l^\infty(R)$ given by $x \mapsto (\phi_n(x)) \in \prod M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset l^\infty(R)$ induces a $\tau|_A$ -preserving $*$ -monomorphism $A \rightarrow R^\omega$ by composing with the quotient map $l^\infty(R) \rightarrow R^\omega$. It follows (essentially due to uniqueness of GNS representations) that the weak closure of A in R^ω is isomorphic to M and the proof is complete. $\square$

Finally we give the proof of the approximation property stated in the introduction. Note that a consequence of this result is that *if* Connes' embedding problem is true (i.e. every separable II_1 -factor is embeddable) then R is *the* basic building block for all II_1 -factors. It is

hard for us to imagine that every II_1 -factor is built up from the inside by the nicest possible II_1 -factor, however a counterexample remains elusive.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $M \subset R^\omega$ be a II_1 -factor, $\mathfrak{F} \subset M$ be a finite set and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a complete order embedding $\Phi : R \hookrightarrow M$ (i.e. Φ is an operator system isomorphism between R and $\Phi(R)$ – that is, Φ is completely positive and $\Phi^{-1} : \Phi(R) \rightarrow R$ is also completely positive) such that for each $x \in \mathfrak{F}$ there exists $r \in R$ such that $\|x - \Phi(r)\|_2 < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Let a finite set $\mathfrak{F} \subset M$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose a projection $p \in M$ such that $\tau(p) > 1 - \epsilon$. Note that the corner pMp is also embeddable into R^ω (the fundamental group of R^ω is $\mathbb{R}_+$).

Now let $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \subset R$ be an identification with a dense subalgebra of R and $\pi : C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \hookrightarrow pMp$ be a $*$ -monomorphism with weakly dense range. By Theorem 2.3 we can find a u.c.p. map $\Psi : R \rightarrow pMp$ which extends π . Since we can also find a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\nu : R \hookrightarrow (1-p)M(1-p)$ we get the desired complete order embedding by defining $\Phi : R \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(r) = \Psi(r) \oplus \nu(r)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. N.P. Brown, *Invariant means and finite representation theory of C^* -algebras*, preprint 2003.
2. A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors: cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$* , Ann. Math. **104** (1976), 73–115.
3. K.R. Davidson, *C^* -algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
4. U. Haagerup, *Spectral decomposition of all operators in a II_1 -factor which is embeddable in R^ω* , MSRI notes, 2001.
5. E. Kirchberg, *On nonsemsplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 449–489.
6. E.C. Lance, *On nuclear C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **12** (1973), 157–176.
7. N. Ozawa, *About the QWEP conjecture*, preprint 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE, PA 16802
E-mail address: nbrown@math.psu.edu